

"One of life's most painful moments comes when we must admit that we didn't do our homework, that we are not prepared." ~ Merlin Olsen

Issue #172 May 24, 2024

How the Democrats Will Steal the 2024 Election

Those who cast the votes decide nothing. Those who count the votes decide everything. ~ attributed to Joseph Stalin

I'm not a partisan. I haven't belonged to a political party since 2001, and since then, I've voted only once. I'm not trying to get the government to do anything to you, so you have no reason to fear or resent me.

I've been following politics for 40 years, and my undergraduate degree is in Political Science. I read about politics because it affects my liberty and the wealth of my clients.

For the Left, the ends have always justified the means. For libertarians, the means are everything. As far as Republicans, well, generally they're good little citizens who show up at the polls to vote on Election Day and then meekly accept the results of an obviously stolen election.

I've written before about the <u>2,000 Mules documentary</u>. There can be no other explanation for why thousands of ballot "mules" in the handful of swing states repeatedly went from leftist nonprofits involved in the election to multiple ballot drop boxes (often in the dead of night) other than voting fraud. Watch the documentary and draw your own

conclusions.

I've also written about how <u>Mark Zuckerberg donated at least \$419 million</u> ostensibly for the high-minded purpose of helping Americans vote during the "pandemic," but was actually used to swing the election to Biden.

Of course elections are fraudulent; the history of election fraud is long. There is simply too much money and power at stake for the elites in power to leave it up to the unenlightened whims of the hoi polloi. Most Americans believe that the voters select their political leaders, but to at least some extent, <u>it's the other way around</u>. Increasingly in recent years, Democrats have filed dozens of election-related lawsuits that sometimes result in an agreement with a state's Secretary of State to weaken election integrity safeguards.

I'm not afraid to make political predictions, especially when they are contrary to the overwhelming consensus view. In 2016, I predicted that Trump would win after I heard that Hillary was spending her time planning her victory party instead of campaigning in Michigan and Wisconsin.

Currently, one of the reasons the U.S. stock market is setting record highs is that given the healthy lead that Trump has over Biden, most investors believe that more investor-friendly politicians will soon be in power. However, I'm going to make a surprising prediction: Trump won't be the next president, but neither will Biden.

The two have agreed to two debates, one on June 27 and the other on Sept. 10. I predict that Trump will crush Biden (as well as the CNN moderator) in the first debate, but the second debate will never happen--at least not with Biden--who I expect will end up in a nursing home by the end of his term as a result of the <u>dementia that his son Hunter</u> <u>acknowledged back in 2019</u>. (That will also be a nice excuse to avoid criminal trials and prison.) I plan to write about Biden's replacement as the Democratic nominee in a future issue.

Although the methods the Democrats used to rig the 2020 election were very clever, most of them won't work again. Conservatives learned a bitter lesson and will be ready and watching closely for the same tricks this time around. So the Dems have to come up with a new mega-ruse, something similar to the COVID-19 "pandemic" that was used to justify not adhering to standard election laws and procedures. And I think I know what it will be.

Have you noticed that in recent months, <u>the U.S. Mail has become incredibly slow</u>? Apparently the Post Office is in the midst of a 10-year plan to "become more efficient," which may be just another way of saying "lose less money." At any rate, it seems that in the places where this plan has been implemented, mail delivery has become significantly slower, and no wonder: "USPS is also rolling out an 'optimized collection plan' that will require mail to sit overnight at post offices instead of being collected each evening for transportation to a processing center." The resulting delays have been enough to arouse the ire of politicians from both parties.

I've also read that the new sorting machines in the regional distribution centers often

break, but when they do, postal employees aren't allowed to manually sort the mail to keep it moving even though they know how to do so. As a result, days worth of mail (or even a week or more) get backed up on pallets stacked up to the ceiling in the distribution centers. The situation seems to have contributed to low employee morale, and sometimes nearly all of them will call in sick on the same day, which exacerbates the problem. The Post Office is a (money-losing) federal bureaucracy that is not subject to the discipline of the market, so I don't see this situation changing any time soon.

So what are the implications of this for the 2024 election? In recent years, more and more ballots (many of them legitimate, many of them not) have been cast by mail. During the COVID-19 "pandemic," many states made it much easier to vote by mail. Some states even mail an absentee ballot to every voter, whether they asked for one or not. Having millions of ballots floating around makes it much easier to commit voting fraud, especially when ballot harvesters and voting-related non-profits are involved.

Consequently, very slow mail service poses a threat to <u>our "sacred right" to vote</u>. Oh no! What is to be done? Queue the outrage and sob stories in the media. On Tuesday of this week (May 21, the day of my state's primary election) a number of my neighbors complained that they had just received their mail-in ballot that day. They were postmarked April 29—over three weeks earlier. Presumably they were mailed from an office in the same county.

So mark my words: This will result in a call to extend Election Season by about a month after Election Day, to allow enough time for voters to receive their ballots by mail, to cast them and mail them back in, and for them to be counted. Many state legislatures, governors, secretaries of state or state election offices will do this on their own. In other places, lawsuits will be filed, and many judges will order this to be done in Red states.

This will be a brilliant method of voting fraud for a number of reasons. First, it will roughly double the time during which voting fraud can be committed. No longer will Democrats have just a few hours late on Election Night to figure out how many votes they need to find to come out on top.

Second, it is much more anonymous and distributed. No more cell phones tracking ballot mules from non-profits to ballot drop boxes. No more video cameras or Republicans recording activity at drop boxes. No more need to make up <u>stories about broken water</u> <u>pipes at ballot counting locations</u>, or worry about <u>video cameras recording voting fraud in</u> <u>those locations</u>. No more need to <u>block the view of Republican poll watchers or remove</u> <u>them from the counting rooms</u>.

Further, unlike the (generally) strict controls at a polling place (unless it's run by corrupt poll workers, and assuming <u>the voting machines aren't rigged</u>), there are very few controls with mail-in ballots, and no chain of custody. It is far easier to <u>manufacture fraudulent</u> <u>ballots</u> than it is to commit voting fraud at a polling place using human voters.

Additionally, the Post Office is a federal bureaucracy whose livelihood and very survival depend on the success of Democratic politicians. According to <u>this article</u>, "Democrats are

pushing post office relief that goes far beyond the \$10-billion loan that Trump won't release. The congressional Postal Preservation Caucus — which includes two Republicans — is backing a \$75-billion plan proposed by the service's governors. A third of the money would be in the form of a bailout. The rest would be used to modernize the service's fraying infrastructure...and to give the Postal Service access to 'unrestricted borrowing' from the U.S. Treasury."

So the entity that controls the flow of ballots—including who *does not* receive them (like my neighbors)—has a keen interest in the outcome of this contest. And as the article makes clear, Trump is not a fan (although he did appoint the current Postmaster General).

The Post Office is an enormous operation, with over 525,000 employees working largely unsupervised at thousands of locations, moving massive amounts of mail every day. There are many opportunities for ballots to be "lost" or merely delayed, or for thousands of fraudulent ballots to suddenly appear in the mail flow, perhaps in the dead of night at a huge facility.

I'm not suggesting that many Post Office employees will break the law to rig the election, because it wouldn't take many—perhaps as few as a handful in each of the swing states. Besides, what will allow this artifice of fraud to work is the extension of Voting Season by a month, not the participation of corrupt Post Office employees.

In conclusion, if you're an investor who is bullish on the U.S. because you believe that the White House will soon be occupied by someone who will be better for your financial interests, you may want to temper that expectation. Because there is *no way* that the Deep State will allow Orange Man to become president again, especially after the way they've hounded him over the last eight years.

Newsletter Archive

<u>Recommended Books</u>

(I receive a commission if you buy a book via this link.)

I would love to hear from you! If you have any comments, suggestions, insight/wisdom, or you'd like to share a great article, please leave a comment.

Disclaimer

The content of this newsletter is intended to be and should be used for informational/ educational purposes only. You should not assume that it is accurate or that following my recommendations will produce a positive result for you. You should either do your own research and analysis, or hire a qualified professional who is aware of the facts and circumstances of your individual situation.

Financial Preparedness LLC is not a registered investment advisor. I am not an attorney, accountant, doctor, nutritionist or psychologist. I am not YOUR financial planner or investment advisor, and you are not my client.

Investments carry risk, are not guaranteed, and do fluctuate in value, and you can lose your entire

investment. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. You should not invest in something you don't understand, or put all of your eggs in one basket.

Before starting a new diet or exercise regimen, you should consult with a doctor, nutritionist, dietician, or personal trainer.